

Independent Comparative Case Review

Updated 3 June 2014

In mid-2012, the SRA commissioned Professor Gus John to undertake a comparative review of how the SRA has dealt with disciplinary cases. Professor John looked at 160 files:

- 80 files prepared by the SRA for prosecution at the Solicitors Disciplinary Tribunal (SDT) in cases where the SDT findings or judgement has been published;
- 80 files which were dealt with by internal adjudication.

Half the files relate to BME individuals and half to white individuals.

Report and executive summary

You can download the report or an executive summary below.

- <u>Full report Independent Comparative Case Review: The Solicitors</u> <u>Regulation Authority (March 2014) (PDF 238 pages, 2.4MB)</u> [<u>https://rules.sra.org.uk/globalassets/documents/sra/equality-diversity/independentcomparative-case-review-iccr-gus-john.pdf</u>]
- <u>Executive summary Independent Comparative Case Review: The</u> <u>Solicitors Regulation Authority (March 2014) (PDF 20 pages, 131K)</u> [https://rules.sra.org.uk/globalassets/documents/sra/equality-diversity/independentcomparative-case-review-iccr-gus-john-march-2014-executive-summary.pdf]

SRA reaction and response to the report

• <u>Download the SRA's response to the report, 3 June 2014 (PDF 14</u> <u>pages, 104K) [https://rules.sra.org.uk/globalassets/documents/sra/equality-</u> <u>diversity/independent-comparative-case-review-iccr-response-june-2014.pdf</u>]

Please use **www.sra.org.uk/iccr** to link to this page.